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**Reproducing Findings of Hurricane Maria Mortality Study**

Kishore et al. set out to investigate using surveying and statistical methodology to estimate the mortality caused by Hurricane Maria in 2017. The official death count reported was 64. However, Kishore et al. reported an estimate of 4645 excess deaths during the period of September 20 through December 31, 2017, which is more than 70 times the official estimate.

In this project I set out to reproduce the findings of Kishore et al. study. Reproducing the finding for a computational experiment implies that a different independent group can obtain the same result using the author’s won artifacts (as defined by the ACM Artifact Review Badging webpage. In this study the researchers used R for their statistical finding and the majority of their figures in order to produce their results and draw out conclusions. For the purpose of reproducing their findings I wills use the artifacts provided by dr. Irizarry’s GitHub repository and run them using RStudio from my own personal device.

Population Estimates in the study were conducted with methods outside of R which I’m unable to reproduce. But the formulas used are provided in the study.
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Description automatically generatedThe **excess deaths estimate** was accurate and reflected the same number found by the researchers. Below are the numbers I gathered. In the left the estimate reflects the unadjusted survey estimate for excess deaths with the lower limit and upper limit. In the right we have the adjusted survey estimate that accounted for the fact that single-person household only reflect a mortality rate of 0. The reading was accurate to the authors numbers as well as the lower and upper limits.

The calculations for the adjustment rates appear to correspond to those in the data tables provided by Dr. Irizarry’s repository.

Figures 1 through 4 showed an accurate reading compared to the authors findings. My images are on the left while the ones provided by the authors are on the right
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Supplementary Figures 2 – 6

Figure S2
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Figure S3A
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Figure S3B
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**Supplementary Tables 1-3**

**Table S1 following the author’s artifacts**
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**Finalized author’s Table S1**
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The numbers appear to be accurate on both sides, but the author’s table includes information from their Survey and the ACS 2016.

**Table S2**

Table S2 generated from code only shows the mean and standard deviation for missing utilities divided by the remoteness factors. Meanwhile the finalized version of the table presented by authors has the utility in percentages divided by months (September – December) as well as divided by the remoteness factor.

**Table S3**

The numbers generated from the authors code are accurate to those in the finalized author’s version but in only includes the proportion of medical care access and utility access. Meanwhile the finalized author’s has the contains the lower bound of estimated mortality rate of neighbors.

**Conclusion**

While several of the figures and numbers are reproducible as demonstrated here some numbers were calculated apart from the code provided in R like the population estimate. In order to reproduce that estimate one would need to know the total number households of barrios where the surveys were conducted, the total population in each remoteness category, and the number of barrios in each remoteness category. This information is not readily available in author’s artifacts. Another issue was found for Table S2 where the proportions for utility availability included information separated in months while the code only provides information for means and standard deviations as derived from the survey information. And finally, Table S3 includes information for the lower bound if estimated rates if neighbors which there is no R code provided for that calculation.
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